

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,792	07/14/2003	Masayoshi Koike	F03-354-USdiv	4088	
21254	7590 11/04/2005		EXAMINER		
MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200			MULPURI, SAVITRI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			2812	, -	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	W Comment
10/617,792	KOIKE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Savitri Mulpuri	2812	

	Savitri Mulpuri	2812	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (lidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ice action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		i E below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	iected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant / menament	(1.102.02.1).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi	Il be entered and an	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			· · · · · · ·
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-19,21,23-26,56-65</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will no	nt he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. Other:		1	1
		Same	
		Savitri Mulpuri Primary Examiner	m

Art Unit: 2812

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Calims are emended with a new limitation of "barrier layer between first and second well layers without doing, wherin the barrier layer having a bandgap greater than the bandgap of the first and second well layers" raises new issue, which require further consideration and search..